



Robert W. Quinn, Jr.
Senior Vice President
Washington, D.C. 20036

AT&T Services, Inc.
1120 20th St. NW, Suite
Federal Regulatory

Phone 202 457-3851
Fax 832 213-0243

September 7, 2007

VIA ECFS

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW – Lobby Level
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Petition of AT&T for Forbearance under 47 U.S.C. § 160(c) from Title II and *Computer Inquiry* Rules with Respect to Its Broadband Services, WC Docket No. 06-125; Petition of BellSouth for Forbearance under 47 U.S.C. § 160(c) from Title II and *Computer Inquiry* Rules with Respect to Its Broadband Services, WC Docket No. 06-125

Dear Ms. Dortch:

In July 2006, AT&T Inc. (AT&T) and BellSouth Corporation (BellSouth) filed the above-captioned petitions seeking forbearance from Title II and *Computer Inquiry* rules to the extent those rules apply to certain broadband services. Among other specified services, AT&T and BellSouth sought relief for “Virtual Private Network (VPN)” services.¹ By this letter, AT&T and BellSouth hereby notify the Commission that they are withdrawing VPN services from the scope of services covered by their petitions.²

If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me. Pursuant to section 1.1206 of the Commission’s rules, this letter is being filed electronically with the Commission.

¹ See AT&T Petition at Appendix A; BellSouth Petition at Attachment A.

² See *Petition of ACS of Anchorage, Inc. Pursuant to Section 10 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended (47 U.S.C. § 160(c)), for Forbearance from Certain Dominant Carrier Regulation of Its Interstate Access Services, and for Forbearance from Title II Regulation of Its Broadband Services, in the Anchorage, Alaska, Incumbent Local Exchange Study Area*, WC Docket No. 06-109, Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 07-149 ¶ 24 n.71 (released Aug. 20, 2007) (“a forbearance petitioner of course may clarify or narrow the scope of a forbearance request through subsequent submissions”). See also *Verizon Telephone Companies v. FCC*, 374 F.3d 1229 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (FCC acted arbitrarily and capriciously in denying forbearance where petitioner narrowed the scope of its forbearance petition).

Ms. Marlene Dortch
August 28, 2007
Page 2

Sincerely,

/s/

Robert W. Quinn, Jr.

Cc: Tom Navin